S JS 44 (Rev. 12/07) (cand rev 1-16-08)

## **CIVIL COVER SHEET**

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON PAGE TWO OF THE FORM.)

I.	(a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS			
FIREMAN'S FUND INSURANCE COMPANY, a California corporation					AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP, INC., a Delaware corporation			
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Marin County, California (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)					County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE LAND INVOLVED.			
	(c) Attorney's (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)				Attorneys (If Known)			
Harvey Siskind LLP D. Peter Harvey and Matthew A. Stratton Four Embarcadero Center, 39th Floor, San Francisco, CA 94111 Tel: 415-354-0100 Fax: 415-391-7124								
II.	BASIS OF JURISDIC	CTION (Place an "X" in Or	ne Box Only)	ITIZENSHIP OF PRI (For Diversity Cases Only) PTF		Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF		
☐ 1	1 U.S. Government X 3 Federal Question Plaintiff (U.S. Government Not a Party)				izen of This State 1	Incorporated or Princip of Business In Th	pal Place 4 4	
2	U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship o	f Parties in Item III)		zizen of Another State 2	2 Incorporated and Princ of Business In An	other State	
				Cit	Foreign Country	3 Foreign Nation	6 6	
IV.	NATURE OF SUIT	(Place an "X" in One Box Only	y)		_			
	CONTRACT		RTS	$\overline{}$	FORFEITURE/PENALTY		OTHER STATUTES	
	10 Insurance 20 Marine 30 Miller Act 40 Negotiable Instrument 50 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 51 Medicare Act	PERSONAL INJURY  310 Airplane  315 Airplane Product Liability  320 Assault, Libel & Slander  330 Federal Employers'	PERSONAL IN  362 Personal Inju Med. Malpra  365 Personal Inju Product Liak  368 Asbestos Per Injury Produ	actice ary — pility rsonal	610 Agriculture 620 Other Food & Drug 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 630 Liquor Laws 640 R.R. & Truck 650 Airline Regs.	422 Appeal 28 USC 158 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157  PROPERTY RIGHTS 1820 Copyrights 1830 Patent	400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations	
<u> </u>	52 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans)	Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product	Liability PERSONAL PROPERT		660 Occupational Safety/Health 690 Other	840 Trademark	480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 810 Selective Service	
<u> </u>	53 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits	Liability 350 Motor Vehicle	371 Truth in Len		LABOR	SOCIAL SECURITY	850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange	
_	60 Stockholders' Suits	355 Motor Vehicle	Property Dar		710 Fair Labor Standards	861 HIA (1395ff)	875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410	
	90 Other Contract 95 Contract Product Liability 96 Franchise	Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury	385 Property Da	ility	Act 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations 730 Labor/Mgmt.Reporting	■864 SSID Title XVI	890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 892 Economic Stabilization Act	
	REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONE	NS .	& Disclosure Act 740 Railway Labor Act 790 Other Labor Litigation	865 RSI (405(g))	893 Environmental Matters 894 Energy Allocation Act	
	210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure	441 Voting 442 Employment	Sentence	, ac acc	791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act	FEDERAL TAX SUITS	895 Freedom of Information Act	
	230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land	443 Housing/ Accommodations	Habeas Corpus: 530 General 535 Death Penalt			870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)	900Appeal of Fee Determination	
	245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	444 Welfare 445 Amer. w/Disabilities -	540 Mandamus &	· .	IMMIGRATION	871 IRS—Third Party	. Under Equal Access to Justice	
		Employment  446 Amer. w/Disabilities – Other  440 Other Civil Rights	550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition		462 Naturalization Application 463 Habeas Corpus – Alien Detainee 465 Other Immigration Actions	26 USC 7609	950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only)  Transferred from 1 Original 2 Removed from 3 Remanded from Proceeding State Court Appellate Court Reopened (specify)  Transferred from 6 Multidistrict 5 another district (specify)  Litigation Magistrate Judgment								
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION  Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity):  15 U.S.C. § 1114; 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a)  Brief description of cause: Federal trademark infringement; Federal unfair competition; related California state law claims								
VII. REQUESTED IN ☐ CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION DEMAND \$ CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint:  COMPLAINT: UNDER F.R.C.P. 23  UNDER F.R.C.P. 23  CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint:  JURY DEMAND: ☐ Yes ☐ No								
VIII. RELATED CASE(S)  IF ANY  PLEASE REFER TO CIVIL L.R. 3-12 CONCERNING REQUIREMENT TO FILE "NOTICE OF RELATED CASE".								
IX. DIVISIONAL ASSIGNMENT (CIVIL L.R. 3-2) (PLACE AND "X" IN ONE BOX ONLY)  SAN FRANCISCO/OAKLAND  SAN JOSE								
DATE 7/3/38 SIGNATURE OF RECORD								

FILED HARVEY SISKIND LLP D. PETER HARVEY (State Bar No. 55712) pharvey@harveysiskind.com 08 JUL - 3 PM 12: 57 MATTHEW A. STRATTON (State Bar No. 254080) 3 AICHARD W. WIEKING CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT PRIBERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA mstratton@harveysiskind.com Four Embarcadero Center, 39th Floor 4 San Francisco, California 94111 5 Telephone: (415) 354-0100 Facsimile: (415) 391-7124 6 WONG, CABELLO, LUTSCH, 7 RUTHERFORD & BRUCCULERI, L.L.P WILLIAM D. RAMAN (pro hac vice pending) E-filing braman@counselip.com 221 W. 6th Street, Suite 950 Austin, Texas 78701 Telephone: (512) 473-2550 Facsimile: (512) 473-2555 11 WONG, CABELLO, LUTSCH, 12 RUTHERFORD & BRUCCULERI, L.L.P 13 JOHN CAIN (pro hac vice pending) jcain@counselip.com 14 20333 State Highway 249, Suite 600 Houston, Texas 77070 15 Telephone: (832) 446-2400 Facsimile: (832) 446-2424 16 17 Attorneys for Plaintiff, FIREMAN'S FUND INSURANCE COMPANY 18 19 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 20 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 21 FIREMAN'S FUND INSURANCE 22 COMPANY, a California corporation, COMPLAINT FOR TRADEMARK 23 Plaintiff, INFRINGEMENT AND UNFAIR v. 24 COMPETITION 25 AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP, INC., a Delaware corporation, 26 Defendant. 27

The Complaint of Fireman's Fund Insurance Company (hereinafter "FFIC" or "Plaintiff"), appearing herein through the undersigned counsel, represents to the Court as follows:

### **PARTIES**

- Plaintiff FFIC is a California corporation having an address of 777 San Marin Drive,
   Novato, California 94998.
- 2. Defendant, American International Group, Inc. (hereinafter "AIG" or "Defendant") is, upon information and belief, a Delaware corporation having an address of 70 Pine Street, New York, New York 10270.

### NATURE OF THE ACTION; JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 3. This is an action for trademark infringement and unfair competition under the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 1051 et seq., particularly under Sections 32(1) and 43(a) of the Lanham Act, as amended, 15 U.S.C. §§ 1114(1) and 1125(a); for statutory unfair competition under Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §17200; and for common law unfair competition under the common law of the State of California.
- 4. This Court has jurisdiction over this cause of action under the Lanham Act, as amended, 15 U.S.C. §§ 1121 and 1125(a), and under the Judicial Code of the United States, 28 U.S.C. §§ 1332 and 1338(a) and (b). There is also diversity of citizenship, and the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000. On information and belief, Defendant is doing business in this judicial district.
- 5. Venue is proper in the Northern District of California pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §1391(b) and (c). Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that AIG transacts or has transacted business in the judicial district and may be otherwise found here, and a substantial part of the events, omissions and injuries giving rise to Plaintiff's claims occurred in this judicial district.

### INTRADISTRICT ASSIGNMENT

6. This is an intellectual property action and therefore shall be assigned on a district-wide basis per Civil L.R. 3-2(c).

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

# PLAINTIFF, ITS BUSINESS, AND ITS GLOBAL AMBASSADOR MERO

- Since at least as early as 1999, FFIC has offered property, castralia, saccident, 7. disability and worker's compensation insurance services for incidents arising outside of the United States under the mark GLOBAL AMBASSADOR. The GLOBAL AMBASSADOR Controlled Master Program offered by FFIC is an all lines package policy.
- 8. FFIC has expended considerable effort offering quality insurance services under the GLOBAL AMBASSADOR mark. As a result of these efforts, FFIC enjoys substantial goodwill under and as a result of said mark.
- 9. Consistent with its long use of the GLOBAL AMBASSADOR mark, FFIC is the owner of U.S. Registration No. 2,868,186 for GLOBAL AMBASSADOR for "property, casualty, accident, disability and workers' compensation insurance underwriting, claims and administration for incidents arising outside of the United States." FFIC applied to register the GLOBAL AMBASSADOR mark with the United States Patent and Trademark Office on February 8, 1999, and the registration issued on August 3, 2004. Said registration is valid and subsisting.
- 10. The GLOBAL AMBASSADOR mark and the goodwill associated therewith are valuable assets of FFIC.

### **DEFENDANT AND ITS ACTIVITIES**

- 11. On information and belief, in early 2007, AIG began offering insurance underwriting services in the field of accident and health insurance for business travelers under the mark AIG GLOBAL AMBASSADOR.
- The insurance services for business travelers which AIG offers under the mark AIG 12. GLOBAL AMBASSADOR include such services relating to incidents arising outside of the United States.
- 13. On April 16, 2007, AIG filed United States Trademark Application Serial No. 77-157,729 seeking registration of the mark AIG GLOBAL AMBASSADOR for insurance underwriting services in the field of accident and health insurance for business travelers. The Patent and Trademark Office refused to register said mark on June 15, 2007. Among other grounds stated by the Patent and Trademark Office for such refusal was the likelihood of confusion between AIG

5

1

12

13

9

15

22

20

- GLOBAL AMBASSADOR for insurance underwriting services in the field of accident and health insurance for business travelers and FFIC's mark GLOBAL AMBASSADOR for the services set forth in U.S. Registration No. 2,868,186.
- 14. Hence, on information and belief, at least as early as June of 2007, AIG knew of FFIC's registration of the mark GLOBAL AMBASSADOR. Also, on information and belief, at least as early as June of 2007, AIG knew that the Examining Attorney at the Patent and Trademark Office had found that AIG's use of AIG GLOBAL AMBASSADOR, as applied for, was likely to cause confusion with FFIC's use of GLOBAL AMBASSADOR for the services recited in U.S. Reg. No. 2,868,186.
- 15. On December 11, 2007, AIG responded to the Patent and Trademark Office on the issue of likelihood of confusion. Notwithstanding AIG's arguments, on December 30, 2007, the Examining Attorney maintained the Patent and Trademark Office's refusal to register AIG GLOBAL AMBASSADOR based upon a likelihood of confusion with FFIC's GLOBAL AMBASSADOR mark, the subject of U.S. Reg. No. 2,868,186.
- Hence, on information and belief, at least as early as January of 2008, AIG knew that, 16. despite AIG's arguments to the contrary, the Examining Attorney at the Patent and Trademark Office maintained that a likelihood of confusion existed.
- 17. In late February of 2008, AIG wrote to FFIC requesting FFIC's consent to use the mark AIG GLOBAL AMBASSADOR.
- 18. FFIC refused such consent, citing a likelihood of confusion between its GLOBAL AMBASSADOR mark and AIG GLOBAL AMBASSADOR, but offered to consider the possibility of a license contingent upon AIG's provision of certain information. AIG responded by reiterating its arguments, already found unpersuasive by the Examining Attorney at the Patent and Trademark Office, disputing the existence of a likelihood of confusion.
- 19. AIG has been told twice by the Examining Attorney at the Patent and Trademark Office and once by FFIC that its use of AIG GLOBAL AMBASSADOR is likely to cause confusion. AIG continues to use the mark AIG GLOBAL AMBASSADOR.
  - 20. The primary business of AIG is the offering of insurance services.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 21. A search for the mark GLOBAL AMBASSADOR and "insurance" on internet search engines such as Google yields entries for AIG GLOBAL AMBASSADOR. Consumers searching for insurance services under FFIC's GLOBAL AMBASSADOR mark are therefore led to the services offered by AIG under the mark AIG GLOBAL AMBASSADOR.
- 22. Despite receiving correspondence from FFIC objecting to AIG's use of AIG GLOBAL AMBASSADOR, AIG filed a new use-based application on April 29, 2008, to register said mark for "travel services, namely, 24-hour medical assistance, travel emergency services and concierge services for business travelers" (the "New Application"). The New Application recites the identical date of first use recited in AIG's prior application for AIG GLOBAL AMBASSADOR for insurance underwriting services.
- 23. The services actually offered by AIG under the mark AIG GLOBAL AMBASSADOR are described on AIG's own website in June of 2008 as a "combination of highly flexible insurance and VIP assistance." Notwithstanding the description of services set forth in the New Application, the services offered by AIG under the mark AIG GLOBAL AMBASSADOR are, in part, insurance underwriting services.
- 24. Defendant's use of AIG GLOBAL AMBASSADOR in connection with insurance services allows it unjustly to reap the benefit of the goodwill and public recognition in the GLOBAL AMBASSADOR mark which FFIC has built up at great labor and expense. Such use also allows AIG to gain consumer acceptance for its services based not solely on AIG's own merits, but also on the reputation and goodwill of FFIC.
- Defendant's use of AIG GLOBAL AMBASSADOR constitutes an invasion of FFIC's 25. valuable property rights in the GLOBAL AMBASSADOR mark and its attendant goodwill. Such use unjustly enriches Defendant.
- 26. Defendant's use of AIG GLOBAL AMBASSADOR places the valuable reputation and goodwill of FFIC in the hands of AIG, over which FFIC has absolutely no control.
- 27. Upon information and belief, AIG was fully aware of FFIC's use of the GLOBAL AMBASSADOR mark prior to AIG's adoption of AIG GLOBAL AMBASSADOR for its services. Moreover, AIG has continued to use AIG GLOBAL AMBASSADOR in callous disregard of FFIC's

1	rights after r	epeatedly receiving notice of such rights from the Patent and Trademark Office and from				
2	FFIC.					
3	28.	AIG's above-mentioned activities are likely to cause confusion, or to cause mistake				
4	or to deceive	ve customers and potential customers of FFIC, at least as to affiliation, approval				
5	sponsorship,	or other connection.				
6 7		FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION TRADEMARK INFRINGEMENT (15 U.S.C. § 1114)				
8	29.	FFIC re-alleges paragraphs 1- 28 hereof as if fully set forth herein.				
9	30.	AIG's acts complained of above constitute trademark infringement in violation of 15				
10	U.S.C. § 1114(1).					
11	31.	Upon information and belief, AIG's acts complained of above are willful and				
12	deliberate.					
13	32.	AIG's acts of infringement have caused and, unless restrained by this Court, will				
14	continue to cause FFIC and the consuming public irreparable harm. FFIC has no adequate remedy a					
15	law for AIG's infringement.					
16 17		SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION FEDERAL UNFAIR COMPETITION (15 U.S.C. § 1125(a))				
18	33.	FFIC re-alleges paragraphs 1- 32 hereof as if fully set forth herein.				
19	34.	AIG's acts complained of above constitute unfair competition in violation of 15				
20	U.S.C. § 1125(a).					
21	35.	Upon information and belief, AIG's acts complained of above are willful and				
22	deliberate.					
23	36.	AIG's acts of unfair competition have caused and, unless restrained by this Court, will				
24	continue to c	cause FFIC and the consuming public irreparable harm. FFIC has no adequate remedy at				
25	law for AIG's unfair competition.					
<ul><li>26</li><li>27</li></ul>		THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION COMMON LAW PASSING OFF AND UNFAIR COMPETITION				
28	37.	FFIC re-alleges paragraphs 1- 36 hereof as if fully set forth herein.				

- 38. AIG's unauthorized use of AIG GLOBAL AMBASSADOR constitutes passing off and unfair competition of FFIC's GLOBAL AMBASSADOR mark in violation of the common law of California.
- 39. AIG's wrongful acts have caused and will continue to cause FFIC irreparable harm. FFIC has no adequate remedy at law.
- 40. FFIC is entitled to a judgment enjoining and restraining AIG from engaging in further acts of infringement and unfair competition.

### FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION UNFAIR COMPETITION (CAL. BUS & PROF. CODE § 17200)

- 41. FFIC re-alleges paragraphs 1- 40 hereof as if fully set forth herein.
- 42. AIG's acts complained of above constitute unfair competition in violation of California Business and Professional Code § 17200 et seq., as they are likely to deceive the public.
- 43. AIG's acts of unfair competition have caused and, unless restrained by this Court, will continue to cause FFIC and the consuming public irreparable harm. FFIC has no adequate remedy at law for AIG's unfair competition.
- 44. FFIC is entitled to a judgment enjoining and restraining AIG from engaging in further unfair competition.

### WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays:

- (a) That Defendant, its partners, agents, servants, employees, attorneys, successors, assigns, related companies, and those acting in concert with them and/or any of them, be preliminarily and permanently enjoined and restrained from using in connection with the promotion, advertising, offering, or rendering of insurance services, travel services, or any related services the terms GLOBAL AMBASSADOR as a mark or as part of a mark, or any name or mark confusingly similar to Plaintiff's GLOBAL AMBASSADOR mark;
- (b) That Defendant, its partners, agents, servants, employees, attorneys, successors, assigns, related companies, and those acting in concert with them and/or any of them, be required to deliver to the Court for destruction, or to show proof of said destruction, of any and all displays, signs, circulars, promotional materials, advertisements, and other materials in Defendant's possession, custody,

or control which bear	or depict the terms GLOBAL AMBASSADOR as a mark or as part of a mark, or							
any mark or name co	onfusingly similar to Plaintiff's GLOBAL AMBASSADOR mark, as well as all							
plates, molds, casts, s	oftware, and other means of reproducing, counterfeiting, copying, or otherwise							
imitating the GLOBA	L AMBASSADOR mark or any mark confusingly similar thereto in association							
with the offering of any goods or services;								
(c)	That Defendant be ordered to file with this Court and to serve upon Plaintiff,							
within thirty days after	the entry of an injunction, a report in writing and under oath setting forth in detail							
the manner in which Defendant has complied with this injunction;								
(d)	That, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1117(a), Plaintiff be awarded its damages,							
including an award of an amount sufficient to allow Plaintiff to conduct corrective advertising to								
mitigate the harm caused by Defendant, and that the award of those damages be increased three times;								
(e)	That, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1117(a), Plaintiff be awarded an accounting of							
Defendant's profits, if any, and that the award of those profits be increased at least three times;								
(f)	That, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1117(a), Plaintiff be awarded its reasonable							
attorney fees; and								
(g)	That Plaintiff be awarded such other and further relief as the Court may deem							
appropriate pursuant to the facts and the principles of equity.								
Dated: July 3, 2008	HARVEY SISKIND LLP							
	D. PETER HARVEY MATTHEW A. STRATTON							
	WONG, CABELLO, LUTSCH, RUTHERFORD & BRUCCULERI, L.L.P							
	WILLIAM D. RAMAN							
	JOHN CAIN							
	DP+ 14							
	By: Peter Hour							
	D. Peter Harvey							

Attorneys for Plaintiff

INSURANCE COMPANY

FIREMAN'S FUND

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

**CERTIFICATION OF INTERESTED ENTITIES OR PERSONS** Pursuant to Civil L.R. 3-16, the undersigned certifies that as of this date, Allianz Global Risks US Insurance Co. and Allianz of America Corporation have an interest in Plaintiff, specifically, the former is Plaintiff's parent entity, and the latter is the parent entity of Allianz Global Risks US Insurance Company. Dated: July 3, 2008 HARVEY SISKIND LLP D. PETER HARVEY MATTHEW A. STRATTON WONG, CABELLO, LUTSCH, RUTHERFORD & BRUCCULERI, L.L.P WILLIAM D. RAMAN JOHN CAIN Attorneys for Plaintiff FIREMAN'S FUND **INSURANCE COMPANY**